

# BLUE

## A software package to combine correlated estimates within ROOT

### Program manual

### Version 1.6.0

Richard Nisius

November 28, 2013

Max-Planck-Institut für Physik (Werner-Heisenberg-Institut)  
Föhringer Ring 6, D-80805 München, Germany,  
<http://www.mpp.mpg.de/~nisius>,  
[Richard.Nisius@mpp.mpg.de](mailto:Richard.Nisius@mpp.mpg.de)

#### **Abstract**

The combination of correlated estimates of a number of observables is a common task in particle physics. This is frequently performed using the BLUE (Best Linear Unbiased Estimate) method.

Given the widespread usage of the ROOT analysis package, a flexible ROOT implementation of the BLUE mathematical framework has been written, and is described in this manual. The software is freely available from the corresponding hepforge project page. Given it is based on ROOT, it is distributed under the GNU Lesser Public License.

## **1 Introduction**

The combination of a number of estimates for a single observable is discussed in Ref. [1]. Here, the term estimate denotes a particular outcome (measurement) of an experiment based on an experimental estimator of the observable, which follows a probability density distribution (pdf). The particular estimate obtained by the experiment may be a likely or unlikely outcome given that distribution. Repeating the measurement numerous times the estimates will follow the underlying pdf of the estimator. The analysis makes use of a  $\chi^2$  minimisation to obtain the combined values. In Ref. [1], this minimisation is expressed in the mathematically equivalent BLUE language.

Provided the estimators are unbiased, when applying this formalism the **Best Linear Unbiased Estimate** of the observable is obtained with the following meaning. **Best:** The combined result for the observable obtained this way has the smallest variance; **Linear:** The result is a linear combination of the individual estimates; **Unbiased Estimate:** When the procedure is repeated for a large number of cases consistent with the underlying multidimensional pdf, the mean of all combined results equals the true value of the observable. The extension to more than one observable is described in [2].

For many years, a freely available Fortran based software [3] to perform the combination for a number of estimates and for several observables was widely used. The implementation of the BLUE method described here is targeted at being used within the ROOT analysis framework [4].

The equations to solve the problem for the general case of  $m$  estimates of  $n$  observables with  $m \geq n$  can be found in [2]. They are implemented in the software presented, but are not repeated here. However, the simple case of two correlated estimates of the same observable is discussed in some detail. This is because already for this case the main features of the combination can easily be understood.

Let  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  with variances  $\sigma_1^2$  and  $\sigma_2^2$  be two estimates from two unbiased estimators of the true value  $x_T$  of the observable, and  $\rho$  the total correlation of the two estimators. Let  $\sigma_1^2$  be the smaller variance, i.e.  $x_1$  is an estimate from the more precise estimator of  $x_T$ , such that  $z \equiv \sigma_2/\sigma_1 > 1$ . Then the BLUE of  $x_T$  is:

$$x = (1 - \beta) x_1 + \beta x_2,$$

where  $\beta$  is the weight of the less precise estimate. The variable  $x$  is the combined result and  $\sigma_x^2$  is its variance. To investigate the improvement on the precision of  $x$  when adding the information of  $x_2$  to the more precise estimate from  $x_1$ , i.e. to decide whether it is worth combining, the variable  $\sigma_x/\sigma_1$  is investigated. This variable quantifies the uncertainty of the combined value in units of the uncertainty of the more precise estimate, i.e the relative improvement achieved by also using  $x_2$  from the less precise estimator.

The two quantities and their derivatives with respect to the parameters  $\rho$  and  $z$  are given in Eqs. 1–6. The resulting variations of the combined value are given in Eqs. 7–8.

$$\beta = \frac{x - x_1}{x_2 - x_1} = \frac{1 - \rho z}{1 - 2\rho z + z^2} = \frac{1 - \rho z}{(1 - \rho z)^2 + z^2(1 - \rho^2)} \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{\sigma_x}{\sigma_1} = \sqrt{\frac{z^2(1 - \rho^2)}{1 - 2\rho z + z^2}} \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{d\beta}{d\rho} = \frac{z(1 - z^2)}{(1 - 2\rho z + z^2)^2} \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{d\frac{\sigma_x}{\sigma_1}}{d\rho} = z(z - \rho)(1 - \rho z) \sqrt{\frac{1}{(1 - \rho^2)(1 - 2\rho z + z^2)^3}} \quad (4)$$

$$\frac{d\beta}{dz} = \frac{\rho(1 + z^2) - 2z}{(1 - 2\rho z + z^2)^2} \quad (5)$$

$$\frac{d\frac{\sigma_x}{\sigma_1}}{dz} = (1 - \rho z) \sqrt{\frac{1 - \rho^2}{(1 - 2\rho z + z^2)^3}} \quad (6)$$

$$\frac{dx}{d\rho} = (x_2 - x_1) \frac{d\beta}{d\rho} \quad (7)$$

$$\frac{dx}{dz} = (x_2 - x_1) \frac{d\beta}{dz} \quad (8)$$

The resulting  $\beta$  and  $\sigma_x/\sigma_1$ , as functions of  $\rho$ , and for various  $z$  values (Eq. 1 and Eq. 2) are shown in Figures 1 and 2. A few features of the variables  $\beta$  and  $\sigma_x/\sigma_1$  are discussed below that are important to understand the results of the combination.

The value of  $\beta$  is smaller than 0.5, because otherwise  $x_2$  would be the more precise estimate. Since the denominator in Eq. 1 is positive for all allowed values of  $\rho$  and  $z$ ,  $\beta$  turns negative for  $\rho > 1/z$  as shown in Figure 1. As can be seen from the second term in Eq. 1, the value of  $\beta$  can be interpreted as the difference of the combined value from the more precise estimate in units of the difference of the two estimates. When  $\beta$  is negative, the signs of the numerator and denominator are different. This means the value of  $x$  lies on the opposite side of  $x_1$  than  $x_2$  does, or in other words, the combined value lies outside the range spanned by the two estimates.

Since the denominator in Eq. 1 and Eq. 2 are identical, and the denominator of Eq. 1 equals the numerator of Eq. 2 plus an additional term that is positive for all values of  $\rho$  and  $z$ , the value of  $\sigma_x/\sigma_1$  is always smaller than 1 as shown in Figure 2. Again this is expected, since including the information from the estimate  $x_2$  should improve on the knowledge of  $x$ , which means on its precision  $\sigma_x$ . Not surprisingly, the value of  $\sigma_x/\sigma_1$  is exactly one for  $\rho = 1/z$ , i.e. when  $\beta = 0$ . In this situation, the information from  $x_2$  is ignored in the linear combination, and consequently  $x = x_1$  and  $\sigma_x = \sigma_1$ .

The derivatives of  $\beta$  and  $\sigma_x/\sigma_1$  with respect to  $\rho$  as functions of  $\rho$ , and for various  $z$  values (Eq. 3 and Eq. 4) are shown in Figures 3 and 4. Finally, the derivatives of  $\beta$  and  $\sigma_x/\sigma_1$  with respect to  $z$  as functions of  $z$ , and for various  $\rho$  values (Eq. 5 and Eq. 6) are shown in Figures 5 and 6. These derivatives can be used to evaluate the sensitivity of the combined result to the imperfect knowledge on both the correlation  $\rho$  and the uncertainty ratio  $z$  of the individual estimators. With this information the stability of the combined result can be assessed and a decision can be taken on whether to refrain from combining. This decision should only be based on the derivatives of  $\sigma_x$  and  $\beta$  but not on the outcome for a particular pair of estimates  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ . This is because the derivatives are features of the underlying probability distributions of the estimators, whereas the two specific values are just a pair of estimates, i.e. a single possible outcome of results.

This manual is organised as follows: The software structure is outlined in Section 2, followed by the description of the user interface given in Section 3. A number of examples provided are discussed in Section 4. The conversion of input files for the Fortran software [3] to functions to be used with this ROOT implementation is explained in Section 5. Some hints on the installation and usage of the software are given in Section 6. Conclusions are drawn in Section 7 followed by an appendix. In Appendix A, the relations for  $\beta$  and  $\sigma_x$ , Eq. 1 and Eq. 2, are derived in the BLUE formalism. Finally, the changes made to the software are documented in the release notes given in Appendix B.

## 2 Software structure

This section explains the general strategy for the usage of the package. The details of the functions mentioned here are given in Section 3. The functionality is implemented in a ROOT class called `Blue` that derives from `TObject`. No attempt has been made to override the default implementations provided by this, but for what is described below.

The usage of the software is separated in up to three steps.

1. During the first step the constructor is called and the individual estimates and their uncertainties, as well as all correlation matrices of the uncertainty sources are filled. Optionally, also names for estimates, uncertainty sources and observables can be filled. When this has been completed, the input stream is closed automatically and the filling functions are disabled.
2. In the second (optional) step individual estimates and/or uncertainty sources can be disabled, or correlation assumptions can be altered for the combination to follow by calling the corresponding `Set` functions. If this step is used, before a further combination can be performed, the input to the combination has to be fixed by the user by calling `FixInp()` indicating the end of the selection. After this call a number of `Print` functions are available for digesting the input and the selections made.
3. In the third step the actual combination is performed by calling (`FixInp()` if step 2 is omitted and) one of the `Solve...()` functions. A number of `Print` functions are provided for digesting the result for the observables.

The second and third steps can be performed as often as wanted. In this case, after any combination, first the input has to be freed for further selections by calling either `ReleaseInp()` or `ResetInp()`. The difference of these two options is discussed below.

## 3 Details of the interface

This section describes the details of the interface. All arguments passed to member functions are declared as `const`, but for those that are return values as described below. However, this fact is not mentioned in the description of the function prototypes below. This means arguments denoted as `Int_t` in fact are `const Int_t`. In contrast, functions that are `const`, i.e. those that do not alter the state of the object are marked as such.

### 3.1 Constructor

`Blue(Int_t NumEst, Int_t NumUnc, Int_t NumObs, Int_t* IWhichObs):`

`Blue(Int_t NumEst, Int_t NumUnc):` The first constructor instantiates the object for a number of estimates (`NumEst`), uncertainty sources (`NumUnc`) and observables (`NumObs`). The array `IWhichObs` indicates which observable a given estimate is determining. The input for the example of four estimates, ten uncertainty sources for two observables, where the first two estimates determine the first observable, and the second two estimates determine the second observable is: `NumEst=4, NumUnc=10, NumObs=2`, and `IWhichObs = {0,0,1,1}`.

In the case of a single observable, i.e. if `NumObs=1`, the information in `IWhichObs` is redundant and ignored. In this case the second constructor can be used instead.

### 3.2 Filling functions

**void FillEst(Int\_t i, Double\_t\*x):** The estimate  $i$  with the index in the following range:  $i = 0, \dots, NumEst - 1$  is filled. The array  $x$  must contain **NumUnc+1** entries, namely the value of the estimate and the individual uncertainties in the following form:  $x = Value, \sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{k_{max}}$  with  $k_{max} = NumUnc - 1$ . The software assumes that  $\sigma_0$  is the statistical uncertainty and  $\sigma_k$  with  $k > 0$  are systematic uncertainties.

If for a source  $k$  a negative entry  $\sigma_k < 0$  is supplied, this value is considered a percentage uncertainty. During filling this is converted from  $\sigma_k \rightarrow -\sigma_k \cdot Value/100$ .

**void FillCor(Int\_t k, Double\_t\*x):** The correlation matrix of the uncertainty  $k$  with indices in the range  $k = 0, \dots, NumUnc - 1$  is filled. For the example of **NumEst=3** the correlation matrix for any uncertainty source  $k$  is:

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} V_{00} & V_{01} & V_{02} \\ V_{10} & V_{11} & V_{12} \\ V_{20} & V_{21} & V_{22} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (9)$$

The array  $x$  must contain the row wise storage of this matrix, i.e. for the above example it should read  $x = V_{00}, V_{01}, V_{02}, V_{10}, V_{11}, V_{12}, V_{20}, V_{21}, V_{22}$ . The user should ensure the matrix to be a valid correlation matrix, i.e. the elements to be within bounds, the matrix to be symmetric, and that the diagonal elements are unity, i.e. the following conditions should be fulfilled:  $V_{ii} = 1$  and  $-1 \leq V_{ji} = V_{ij} \leq 1$  for  $i \neq j$ , for all  $i, j = 0, \dots, NumEst - 1$ . If the matrix is not symmetric, or off diagonal elements are outside their range of validity, the input is not consistent. In this case, an error message is issued and the software will refrain from combining. In any case, the diagonal elements will be forced to unity by the software.

Given the above relations, the entire information is contained in one half of the off diagonal elements (e.g. those marked in **red** in Eq. 9). To account for this, this function can also be called with  $k$  replaced by  $-k$  (for  $k \neq 0$ ). In this case the array  $x$  should only contain the significant elements again in row-wise storage, i.e. in the above case  $x = V_{01}, V_{02}, V_{12}$  is expected by the software. Again, if elements are outside their range of validity, the input is not consistent, an error message is issued and the software will refrain from combining.

**void FillCor(Int\_t k, Double\_t rho):** Frequently uncertainty sources are either uncorrelated or fully correlated amongst all estimates. In this case, only a single value, namely the overall correlation obeying  $-1 \leq rho = \rho_k \leq 1$  is significant. A call to this function will store a correlation matrix with  $V_{ii} = 1$  and  $V_{ji} = V_{ij} = \rho_k$  for  $i \neq j$ , for  $i, j = 0, \dots, NumEst - 1$  for the source  $k$ . If the value of  $\rho_k$  is not within bounds, the input is not consistent, an error message is issued and the software will refrain from combining.

The following functions allow to assign names to estimates, uncertainties and observables. They are implemented as **TString** objects. The length of each name is arbitrary, however all printing functions and the display routine are optimised for names with equal length of seven characters. The type of characters can be freely chosen, however those requiring math mode should be avoided when using **LatexResult()** (see below). For all functions it is the responsibility of the user to ensure the correct length of the arrays of names, i.e. names for **NumEst**

estimates, **NumUnc** uncertainties and **NumObs** observables should be provided. The functions can only be called before the end of input of estimates and correlations is recognised by the software. Therefore, it is recommended to first fill the names if wanted.

**void FillNamEst(TString\* NamEst):** A call to this function will store the names of the estimates.

**void FillNamUnc(TString\* NamUnc):** A call to this function will store the names of the uncertainties.

**void FillNamObs(TString\* NamObs):** A call to this function will store the names of the observables.

### 3.3 Fix and free input

**void FixInp():** The input is fixed for solving and the calculation of several matrices is initiated.

**void ReleaseInp():** The input is freed for additional selections. Any further selection starts from the situation at the last call to **FixInp()**.

**void ResetInp():** The input is freed for additional selections. However, in this case any further selection starts from the original user input.

### 3.4 Solver

The default method for solving the problem ist:

**void Solve():** The BLUE combination for the presently active estimates and uncertainties is performed.

In the following a number of specific **Solve...()** functions are discussed which themselves call **FixInp()** and **Solve()** several times. As a consequence, after calling one of these functions the output of the print functions related to estimates and uncertainties will be different from the one after the last user call to **FixInp()**. In contrast, since these functions use **ReleaseInp()**, the situation in terms of active estimates, uncertainties and correlation assumptions remains unchanged. Exceptions are: **SolvePosWei()**, where estimates resulting in negative weights are disabled at return, and **SolveMaxVar()**, where the uncertainties are scaled, see **SetRhoFacUnc()** for details. For the user to get to a clean situation after using theses exceptions it is recommended to use **ResetInp()** before subsequent calls to **Solve()**.

**void SolveRelUnc(Double\_t Dx):** The BLUE combination is performed for the presently active estimates and uncertainties, of which at least one has to be a **Relative Uncertainty**. Iterations are made until the relative difference of the combined value with respect to the one from the previous iteration falls below **Dx** percent.

The uncertainty sources can be an arbitrary mixture of relative or absolute uncertainties, see `SetRelUnc(...)` for how to steer this. The term absolute uncertainty means that the value of the uncertainty is identical for all possible values of the estimator PDF, i.e. it is independent of the actual value of the estimate. This means it is the same for the actual estimate, any combined value and the true value. Therefore, irrespectively whether it was calculated for the estimate it also applies to the combined value. In contrast, a relative uncertainty (e.g. of some percent) varies across the PDF and depends on the actual value of the estimate. Consequently, in this case after each iteration the uncertainty is replaced by the expected uncertainty of the true value  $x_T$ , approximated by the one of the combined value  $x$ .

The procedure works as follows: First a BLUE combination is performed. Then the uncertainties are adjusted based on the result and the next iteration is performed. This is repeated until convergence is reached. For each estimate  $i$  and each relative uncertainty  $k$  the dependence of the contribution from this source to the covariance matrix can be defined by the user as a second order polynomial in  $x$ . The function reads  $\sigma_{ik}^2 = a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2$ . See `SetRelUnc(...)` for the details of the implementation and Ref. [5] for an example of a more complicate situation.

`void SolveAccImp(Double_t Dx) const`: For each observable a combination of the estimates is performed **A**ccording to their **I**mportance, i.e. for decreasing absolute values of BLUE weights. The software suggests which estimates to combine until the uncertainty of the combined value is never improved by more than `Dx` percent by adding further estimates. First a BLUE combination for the presently active estimates and uncertainties is performed. For each active observable the related estimates are sorted by importance. According to this list one estimate at a time is added to the most precise one and the combination is performed, while all less important estimates of this observable are disabled. In contrast, all estimates of other observables are kept active such that the full correlation is preserved. This is repeated for all active observables. The outcome can be digested by a call to `PrintAccImp()`.

`void SolveInfWei() const`: This function is only available for a single observable. It yields the same result as a call to `Solve()` but also calculates the information weights defined in [6]. These comprise the BLUE weights  $\alpha_i$ , the intrinsic weights, the marginal weights, the weight assigned to the correlation and finally the relative weights. The information weights are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
BLUE &= \alpha_i \\
intrinsic &= \frac{\sigma_x^2}{\sigma_i^2} \\
correlation &= 1 - \Sigma_i intrinsic \\
marginal &= 1 - \frac{\sigma_x^2}{\sigma_{xm-i}^2} \\
relative &= \frac{|\alpha_i|}{\Sigma_i |\alpha_i|}
\end{aligned}$$

Here  $\sigma_{xm-i}^2$  denotes the variance of the combination when using all  $m$  estimates, but the estimate  $i$ . The outcome can be digested by a call to `PrintInfWei()`. *NOTE*: It is recommended to *NOT* use relative weights when achieving scientific results because of the weakness of the concept, see

Ref. [6] for a discussion. Here, they are only implemented to enable comparisons.

The following functions implement two alternative solving methods. *NOTE*: It is recommended to *NOT* use these functions when achieving scientific results because of the weakness of the concepts. Here, they are only implemented to enable comparisons.

`void SolvePosWei() const`: For each observable a combination is performed by including only estimates of this observable that have **Positive Weights** and all other estimates of different observables. First a BLUE combination for the presently active estimates and uncertainties is performed. Then, all estimates that determine this observable, and have negative BLUE weights, are disabled and the next combination is performed. This is repeated until no estimates with negative weights remain.

`void SolveMaxVar(Int_t IFuRho) const`: This functions is only available for a single observable. Three methods are implemented to **Maximise** the **Variance** of the combined result by changing, i.e. reducing the correlations of the systematic uncertainties in an artificial, but controlled way, see Ref. [6]. This is achieved by multiplying all covariance entries (i.e. the off diagonal elements of the contributions to the covariance matrix for the uncertainty source  $k$ ) for  $k > 0$  by factors  $f_{ijk}$ , thereby changing the initially assigned correlations. This procedure is not applied to the source  $k = 0$ , which is assumed to be the statistical uncertainty, which is either uncorrelated between estimates, or the correlations are exactly known, because they have been determined by the experiments as e.g. in Ref. [7].

The following options are implemented:

- 1)  $IFuRho = 0$  means  $f_{ijk} = f$  for all  $i, j, k$ ,
- 2)  $IFuRho = 1$  means  $f_{ijk} = f_k$  for all  $i, j$ ,
- 3)  $IFuRho = 2$  means  $f_{ijk} = f_{ij}$  for all  $k$ .

Since for each source  $k$  and pair  $i, j$  of estimates the dependence of the relative improvement in the uncertainty follows Figure 2, the factors  $f_{ijk}$  are obtained by a scan in the value of the respective factor using the range  $1 \rightarrow 0$ . The maximum is guaranteed to exist for  $\rho_{ijk} = 1/z_{ijk} > 0$ . Clearly, if the correlation initially assigned is such that it lies to the left of this point, the initial situation already corresponds to the maximum to be calculated, i.e. the real maximum is not attempted to be found in this procedure.

The algorithm works as follows: For  $IFuRho = 0$ , the global factor  $f$  is found by a scan from  $1 \rightarrow 0$ . For  $IFuRho = 1$ , the  $f_k$  are obtained independently for all sources  $k > 0$ , i.e. when determining  $f_k$  the values for sources  $k'$  with  $k' \neq k$  are set to unity. Finally, for  $IFuRho = 2$  the  $f_{ij}$  are found consecutively, while using the already determined values for  $i' < i$  and  $j' < j$ . Given this procedure, the covariance matrix can be manipulated in such a way that the inversion gets unstable. The software has been protected against this occurrence. Finally, the outcome can be digested by a call to `PrintMaxVar()`.



### 3.5 Setters

All setters are implemented in such a way that  $i$  and  $k$  always refer to their initial values for estimates and uncertainty sources that were given by the user during the filling step. This way the user does not need to keep track of the actual index an estimate or uncertainty has within the presently active list. The setters only work if the input is not fixed.

`void SetActiveEst(Int_t i):` **Enable** estimate  $i$ , i.e. it will be used in subsequent calls to `Solve()`.

`void SetActiveUnc(Int_t k):` **Enable** uncertainty  $k$ , i.e. it will be used in subsequent calls to `Solve()`.

`void SetInActiveEst(Int_t i):` **Disable** estimate  $i$ , i.e. it will **not** be used in subsequent calls to `Solve()`.

`void SetInActiveUnc(Int_t k):` **Disable** uncertainty  $k$ , i.e. it will **not** be used in subsequent calls to `Solve()`.

`void SetRhoValUnc(Double_t RhoVal):`

`void SetRhoValUnc(Int_t k, Double_t RhoVal):` The first implementation of this function will set the correlations of all active uncertainty sources to `RhoVal`. This value should be within the range  $-1 < \text{RhoVal} < 1$ . The second will do the same, but only for the source  $k$ .

`void SetNotRhoValUnc():`

`void SetNotRhoValUnc(Int_t k):` The first implementation of this function will revert to the originally provided correlations of all active uncertainty sources. The second will do the same, but only for the source  $k$ .

`void SetRhoFacUnc(Double_t RhoFac):`

`void SetRhoFacUnc(Int_t k, Double_t RhoFac):` The first implementation of this function will scale the originally provided correlations of all active uncertainty sources by a factor `RhoFac`. This factor should be within the range  $-1 < \text{RhoFac} < 1$ . The second will do the same, but only for the source  $k$ . Clearly, uncorrelated sources are not affected by this.

`void SetNotRhoFacUnc():`

`void SetNotRhoFacUnc(Int_t k):` The first implementation of this function will revert to the originally provided correlations of all active uncertainty sources. The second will do the same, but only for the source  $k$ .

The following functions implement so called *reduced correlation*<sup>1</sup>. *NOTE:* It is recommended

---

<sup>1</sup>Reduced correlations assume that for each pair  $(i, j)$  of estimates and a given source of uncertainty  $k$  the smaller of the individual uncertainties, e.g.  $\sigma_{1k} < \sigma_{2k}$ , is fully correlated, and the remainder is uncorrelated. This replaces the covariance  $\rho_{12k}\sigma_{1k}\sigma_{2k}$  by the square of the smaller of the individual uncertainties  $\sigma_{1k}^2$  for this source, which is equivalent to assuming the correlation to amount to the ratio of the smaller to the larger uncertainty,

to *NOT* use these functions when achieving scientific results because of the weakness of the concept. Here, they are only implemented to enable comparisons.

`void SetRhoRedUnc():`

`void SetRhoRedUnc(Int_t k):` For all active uncertainty sources and all **fully correlated** pairs of estimates, the first implementation of this function will replace the correlation by the reduced correlation. The second will do the same, but only for the source  $k$ .

`void SetNotRhoRedUnc():`

`void SetNotRhoRedUnc(Int_t k):` The first implementation of this function will revert to the originally provided correlations of all active uncertainty sources. The second will do the same, but only for the source  $k$ .

By construction, changed- scaled- and reduced correlations are mutually exclusive. Consequently, for each source of uncertainty the use of only one of the options is supported by the software.

The following functions allow to steer which uncertainties are taken as relative and which as absolute in subsequent calls to `SolveRelUnc()`.

`void SetRelUnc():`

`void SetRelUnc(Int_t k):` The first implementation of this function will declare all active uncertainty sources as relative uncertainties. The second will do the same, but only for the source  $k$ . In this implementation the default behaviour of the detailed implementation discussed next is used for all estimates and the respective uncertainty source.

`void SetRelUnc(Int_t i, Int_t k, Double_t* ActCof):` For each estimate  $i$  and each uncertainty source  $k$  the dependence of the variance on the combined value  $x$  is defined by using the coefficients from the array  $ActCof = a_0, a_1, a_2$  in the second order polynomial:  $\sigma_{ik}^2 = a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2$ .

In the default implementation it is assumed that the statistical uncertainty (estimate) is proportional  $\sqrt{N}$  ( $N$ ), where  $N$  is the number of events, and the systematic uncertainties to be linear in  $x$ . Consequently, in this case only one coefficient each is different from zero. For the statistical uncertainty ( $k = 0$ ) this is  $a_1 = \sigma_{i0}^2/x_i$ , and for all systematic uncertainties  $k > 0$  it is  $a_2 = \sigma_{ik}^2/x_i^2$ . If this behaviour is valid for the combination under investigation, a single call to `void SetRelUnc()` should be used, otherwise individual user defined functions have to be provided. If this is needed, for any uncertainty source  $k$  the functions for all estimates  $i$  have to be given.

`void SetNotRelUnc():`

`void SetNotRelUnc(Int_t k):` The first implementation of this function will declare all active uncertainty sources as absolute uncertainties and revert to the initially provided values. The second will do the same, but only for the source  $k$ .

---

$\rho_{12k} = \sigma_{1k}/\sigma_{2k} = 1/z_k$ , see Section 1 for the consequences of this.

## 3.6 Getters

### 3.6.1 Getters for active estimates and uncertainties

The following functions give access to the actual numbers of active estimates, uncertainties and observables. This information is only available after a call to `FixInp()`, otherwise the return value is zero.

`Int_t GetActEst() const`: Returns the number of active estimates.

`Int_t GetActUnc() const`: Returns the number of active uncertainties.

`Int_t GetActObs() const`: Returns the number of active observables. Although the interface does not allow to disable observables, still this number will differ from the value of `NumObs` originally supplied, whenever all estimates determining one of the observables have been deactivated by calling `SetInActiveEst()`.

The following functions give access to the names of the active estimates, uncertainties and observables. This information is only available after a call to `FixInp()`, otherwise, as well as for inactive estimates, the return value is `NULL`.

`TString GetNamEst(Int_t i) const`: Returns the name of the active estimate  $i$ .

`TString GetNamUnc(Int_t k) const`: Returns the name of the active uncertainty  $k$ .

`TString GetNamObs(Int_t n) const`: Returns the name of the active observable  $n$ .

The following functions give access to the actual lists of estimates, uncertainties and observables. Again, this information is only available after a call to `FixInp()`. In this case the return value is 1 otherwise it is 0. These functions return a pointer to the first element of an array of `Int_t` values. The structures are filled always starting from element 0. The dimensions are dynamical, i.e. they depend on the number of active estimates, uncertainties and observables that may well differ from the dimensions originally supplied to the constructor of the class. As a consequence, if the structures are defined by the user and filled using the original dimensions, the last part of the structures will contain senseless non zero values, whenever estimates or uncertainty sources are disabled and the functions are called a second time.

`Int_t GetIndEst(Int_t* IndEst) const`: Returns the list of active estimates. The dimension is: `IndEst(GetActEst())`.

`Int_t GetIndUnc(Int_t* IndUnc) const`: Returns the list of active uncertainties. The dimension is: `IndUnc(GetActUnc())`.

`Int_t GetIndObs(Int_t* IndObs) const`: Returns the list of active observables. The dimension is: `IndObs(GetActObs())`.

The following functions give access to various quantities for the active estimates and uncertainties. See above for their availability and return values. These functions come in pairs and return a pointer to either a `TMatrixD` or the first element of an array of `Double_t` values. The structures are filled always starting from element (0,0) or 0. The dimensions of the matrices are given below, the dimension of the arrays should be the product of the number of columns and rows of the matrices. The user has to take care of the proper dimension of the structure in the calling function. Also here the dimensions are dynamical (see above for the consequences).

`Int_t GetCov(TMatrixD* UseCov) const:`

`Int_t GetCov(Double_t* RetCov) const:` Returns the covariance matrix of the estimates. The dimension is: `UseCov(GetActEst(),GetActEst())`.

`Int_t GetCovInvert(TMatrixD* UseCovI) const:`

`Int_t GetCovInvert(Double_t* RetCovI) const:` Returns the inverse of the covariance matrix of the estimates. The dimension is: `UseCovI(GetActEst(),GetActEst())`.

`Int_t GetRho(TMatrixD* UseRho) const:`

`Int_t GetRho(Double_t* RetRho) const:` Returns the correlation matrix of the estimates. The dimension is: `UseRho(GetActEst(),GetActEst())`.

`Int_t GetParams(Int_t If1, TMatrixD* UseParams) const:`

`Int_t GetParams(Int_t If1, Double_t* RetParams) const:` Returns the matrices of parameters for hypothetical pairwise combinations. See `PrintParams()` for the meaning of `If1`. The dimension is: `UseParams(GetActEst(),GetActEst())`.

### 3.6.2 Getters for the consistency of the combination

This information is only available after a call to `Solve()`, otherwise the return value of the functions is zero.

`Double_t GetChiq() const:` Returns the  $\chi^2$  value of the result.

`Int_t GetNdof() const:` Returns the number of degrees of freedom  $N_{\text{dof}}$ , i.e. the difference of the number of active estimates and active observables of the result.

`Double_t GetProb() const:` Returns the  $\chi^2$  probability  $P(\chi^2, N_{\text{dof}})$  of the result.

`Double_t GetPull(Int_t i) const:` Returns the pull of the estimate  $i$ . The pull is defined as the difference of the estimate and the observable, divided by the square root of the difference of the variances of the two.

### 3.6.3 Getters for active observables

The following functions give access to various quantities for results for the active observables that are obtained from the combination of the active estimates given their active uncertainties.

Again, this information is only available after a call to `Solve()`. Also here, this is indicated by the return value of the integer function, which is 1 if successful, i.e. `Solve()` was called, and 0 otherwise. These functions also come in pairs.

`Int_t GetCovRes(TMatrixD* UseCovRes) const:`

`Int_t GetCovRes(Double_t* RetCovRes) const:` Returns the covariance matrix of the observables. The dimension is: `UseCovRes(GetActObs(),GetActObs())`.

`Int_t GetRhoRes(TMatrixD* UseRhoRes) const:`

`Int_t GetRhoRes(Double_t* RetRhoRes) const:` Returns the correlation matrix of the observables. The dimension is: `UseRhoRes(GetActObs(),GetActObs())`.

`Int_t GetWeight(TMatrixD* UseWeight) const:`

`Int_t GetWeight(Double_t* RetWeight) const:` Returns the matrix of the weights of the estimates for the various observables. The dimension is: `UseWeight(GetActEst(),GetActObs())`.

`Int_t GetResult(TMatrixD* UseResult) const:`

`Int_t GetResult(Double_t* RetResult) const:` Returns the matrix of the results of the observables in a form similar to what is expected for the filling of the estimates in `FillEst()` described above. Each observable is stored in one row, where the first element is the value, followed by the individual uncertainties. The dimension is: `UseResult(GetActObs(),GetActUnc()+1)`.

`Int_t GetUncert(TMatrixD* UseUncert) const:`

`Int_t GetUncert(Double_t* RetUncert) const:` Returns the matrix of the total uncertainties of the observables. The dimension is: `UseUncert(GetActObs(),1)`.

### 3.7 Print out

The software provides some print out during the various steps. Naturally, printing more information helps developing the user functions, but afterwards it only distracts from the important information. Consequently, the level of details reported to the user can be steered.

`void SetPrintLevel(Int_t p):` Set the level of details for the print out  $0 \leq p \leq 2$ , with increasing details for increasing values of  $p$ .

There exist four groups of `Print` functions. The first group returns information related to the presently active estimates and uncertainties, and the second information related to the result for the observables. Given the flexibility of the `Set` functions described above, they only reflect the correct status after a call to `FixInp()` or `Solve()`, respectively. Consequently, they are disabled until the respective function has been called.

The third group consists of just one function that shows the present status of the input and the results. Depending on the print level it calls a number of functions from the first two groups. Finally, the fourth group returns the finding of specific `Solve...()` functions described above.

### 3.7.1 Print functions for active estimates

Again, also for the print functions, the indices  $i, k$  refer to the original estimate and uncertainty source indices, respectively.

`void PrintListEst() const:` Prints the list of the active estimates.

`void PrintListUnc() const:` Prints the list of the active uncertainties.

`void PrintNamEst() const:` Prints the names of the active estimates.

`void PrintNamUnc() const:` Prints the names of the active uncertainties.

`void PrintEst(Int_t i) const:` Prints the information for the active estimate  $i$ .

`void PrintEst() const:` Prints the information for all active estimates.

`void PrintCofRelUnc() const:`

`void PrintCofRelUnc(Int_t k) const:` The first implementation of this function will print for all active estimates the coefficients for all active and relative uncertainties. The second will do the same, but only for the uncertainty source  $k$ .

`void PrintCor(Int_t k) const:` Prints the correlation matrix of the active uncertainty source  $k$ .

`void PrintCor() const:` Prints the correlation matrix of all active uncertainty sources.

`void PrintCov(Int_t k) const:` Prints the contribution of the uncertainty source  $k$  to the covariance matrix of the active estimates.

`void PrintCov() const:` Prints the covariance matrix of the active estimates.

`void PrintCovInvert() const:` Prints the inverted covariance matrix of the active estimates.

`void PrintRho() const:` Prints the correlation matrix of the active estimates.

`void PrintCompatEst() const:` Prints the pair wise compatibility of the estimates of the same observable given their correlation. The compatibility is based on a  $\chi^2$  and the corresponding probability using  $P(\chi^2, N_{\text{dof}}) = P(\chi^2, 1)$ . The  $\chi^2$  is defined as  $\chi^2 = (x_1 - x_2)^2 / (\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 - 2\rho_{12}\sigma_1\sigma_2)$ .

`void PrintParams(Int_t If1) const:` Prints the matrices of parameters for hypothetical pair-wise combinations of the estimates  $i$  and  $j$  provided they determine the same observable. If not, for this pair zero is returned instead. Given the symmetry, only the lower half of the matrix is filled. The parameter printed depends on the value of `If1`. For `If1 = 0` the ratio of the

uncertainties is returned, i.e.  $\sigma_i/\sigma_j$  with  $j > i$ . This ratio corresponds to  $z$  if  $\sigma_i > \sigma_j$  and  $1/z$  otherwise. For  $\text{If1} > 0$  the values of Eqs. 1–6 are returned.

`void PrintPull(Int_t i) const:` Prints the pull of the active estimate  $i$ .

`void PrintPull() const:` Prints the pull of all active estimates.

### 3.7.2 Print functions for the observables

`void PrintListObs() const:` Prints the list of active observables.

`void PrintNamObs(Int_t n) const:` Prints the names of the active observables.

`void PrintCovRes() const:` Prints the covariance matrix of the results for all observables.

`void PrintRhoRes() const:` Prints the correlation matrix of the results for all observables.

`void PrintWeight() const:` Prints the weight matrix of the results for all observables (Columns) and estimates (Rows).

`void PrintResult() const:` Prints the result for each observable. First the linear combination of the individual estimates is given. Then the combined values for the observables are listed together with the full breakdown of their uncertainties. Finally, the separation into the statistical uncertainty ( $k = 0$ ) and the total systematic uncertainty (the square root of the quadratic sum of the contributions from all sources with  $k > 0$ ) is given.

`void PrintCompatObs() const:` Prints the pair wise compatibility of the observables given their correlation. For the definition of the  $\chi^2$  used see the explanation of `PrintCompatEst()`. Obviously, here this compatibility only makes sense if the observables should coincide, i.e. they relate to the same physics parameter. If that is not the case this information should be ignored.

`void PrintChiPro() const:` Prints the  $\chi^2$  together with the number of degrees of freedom  $N_{\text{dof}}$ , and the  $\chi^2$  probability  $P(\chi^2, N_{\text{dof}})$  of the result.

### 3.7.3 Print functions for the overall status

`void PrintStatus() const:` Prints the status of input and output depending on the state like: filled, fixed or solved and the print level.

### 3.7.4 Print functions for specific Solve...() functions

`void PrintAccImp() const:` Prints the findings of `SolveAccImp(Dx)`. The order of importance is given and the change is reported for the combined value and its uncertainty while including the estimates one by one according to importance in the combination. Finally, the list of estimates to be used in the combination is given that corresponds to the relative improvement  $\text{Dx}$

requested in the call to `SolveAccImp(Dx)`.

`void PrintInfWei() const`: Prints the information weights defined above in the description of `SolveInfWei()` for the active estimates.

`void PrintMaxVar() const`: Prints the findings of `SolveMaxVar()`. The variance of the combined result and the correlation matrix of the estimates are given before and after the maximisation of the variance. In addition listed are the number of times an unstable matrix inversion has been detected. Finally, the fitted factors are given, depending on the value of `IFuRho` used in the call to `SolveMaxVar(IFuRho)`.

## 3.8 Utilities

For the special situation of two estimates of a single observable discussed above, the data can be inspected more closely. Two sets of functions are implemented. The first set is independent of the data structure. The second set (at present containing only a single function) works on a pair of active estimates. Both sets are discussed in turn.

Finally, for publishing the results two utilities to create L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X and PDF output are provided.

### 3.8.1 Data structure independent utilities for a pair of estimates

The first two function can be used for arbitrary values of  $\rho$  and  $z$ , to either evaluate Eqs. 1–6, or to produce figures analogous to Figures 1–6.

`Double_t GetPara(Int_t ifl, Double_t rho, Double_t zva) const`: Returns for given values of  $\rho = \rho$  and  $zva = z$  the values of Eq. ifl.

`Double_t FunPara(Double_t*x, Double_t*par) const`: This function implements the possibility to use `GetPara()` as a TF1 function. The meaning of the parameters is as follows: for all cases  $par[1] = ifl$ . For the situation that  $z$  is a parameter, and  $\rho$  is the function variable, as e.g. in Eq. 1,  $par[0] = z$  and  $x = \rho$ . For the situation that  $\rho$  is a parameter, and  $z$  is the function variable, as e.g. in Eq. 6, the situation is reversed, i.e.  $par[0] = \rho$  and  $x = z$ .

For the user to implement this as a TF1 function the following notation should be used:  
`TF1* Func = new TF1(FuncName,this,&Blue::FunPara,xlow,xhig,2,"Blue","FunPara");`  
 Only when this syntax is followed the normal ROOT methods for TF1 functions can be used.

Finally, the last utility exploits the characteristics of an arbitrary pair of estimates.

`void DrawSens(Double_t xv1, Double_t xv2, Double_t sv1, Double_t sv2, Double_t rho, TString FilNam) const`: The required input is  $xv1 = x_1$ ,  $xv2 = x_2$ ,  $sv1 = \sigma_1$ ,  $sv2 = \sigma_2$ ,  $\rho = \rho$ , see Section 1 for details. The same up and down variations of  $\rho$  and  $z$  as discussed below for `InspectPair()` are performed, and the result is visualised in a figure similar to Figure 7. This figure is finally stored in the file `FilNam.pdf`.



### 3.8.2 Data structure dependent utility for a pair of estimates

`void InspectPair(Int_t i, Int_t j) const:`

`void InspectPair(Int_t i, Int_t j, TString FilNam) const:` The pair of active estimates  $i, j$  is inspected more closely. When the second implementation is invoked also `DrawSens` (see above) is called.

First, the compatibility of the estimates is evaluated. If the estimates are not consistent, no combination should be performed! Then the actual combination is performed and the values of Eqs. 1–6 are reported. Subsequently, the parameters  $\rho$  and  $z$  are varied by about  $\pm 10\%$  in the following way. A variation of  $\pm 0.1$  is attempted in  $\rho$ . In addition, the variation is restricted to stay within  $-0.99 < \rho < 0.99$  such that, depending on the initial value of  $\rho$ , the actual range may be smaller. Similarly, for  $z$  an upward variation to  $z_{\text{up}} = 1.1 \cdot z$  is performed. The downward variation to  $z_{\text{dn}} = 0.9 \cdot z$  is further restricted to not fall below the minimum of  $z_{\text{dn}} = 1.01$ . This ensures that  $x_1$  remains the more precise estimate. The combination is repeated for all possible pairs of values using the three cases each for  $(z_{\text{dn}}, z, z_{\text{up}})$  and  $(\rho_{\text{dn}}, \rho, \rho_{\text{up}})$ . All nine results and the observed range in  $x$  and  $\sigma_x$  are reported.

### 3.8.3 Utilities for publishing

`void LatexResult(TString FilNam) const:`

`void LatexResult(TString FilNam, TString ForVal, TString ForUnc, TString ForWei, TString ForRho, TString ForPul) const:` Creates a  $\text{\LaTeX}$  file `FilNam.tex` with a number of tables. The tables provided are: one table with the active estimates together with the observables, one with the correlations of the estimates, one with the blue weights and the pulls and finally, for `NumObs>1`, one table with the correlation of the observables.

The first implementation uses default formats `ForXxx` where `Xxx` stands for the Val-ues, Unc-ertainties, Wei-ghts, Correlations (Rho), and finally the Pul-ls. The formats used are: `%5.2f` for values and uncertainties, and `%4.2f` for weights, correlations and pulls. If these are not suitable for the case under study they can be individually provided by the user using the second implementation. After creation, this file can be processed from the shell using the local  $\text{\LaTeX}$  implementation.

`void DisplayResult(Int_t n, TString FilNam) const:`

`void DisplayResult(Int_t n, TString FilNam, TString ForVal, TString ForUnc) const:` Creates a function `FilNam_Obs_n.cxx` which after compiling (like any of the examples listed below) produces a file `FilNam_Obs_n.pdf` with a figure containing the active estimates that determine the observable  $n$  together with the result of the combination. For the definition of the formats `ForXxx` see the description for `LatexResult()`.

## 4 Examples

To demonstrate the usage of the software a number of example functions are provided. They reproduce the numerical values of all combinations performed in the respective publication (but for differences that are explained below). In some cases a few more combinations are performed based on the information contained in the original publications. In addition, the functions show

examples of how to retrieve the results into local data structures. The examples are listed in the following:

`B_NIMA_270_110.cxx()`: Function that reproduces all results discussed in [1].

`B_NIMA_500_391.cxx(Int_t Flag)`: Function that reproduces all results discussed in [2].

`B_EPJC_72_2046.cxx(int Flag)`: Function that reproduces all results discussed in [7].

`B_Peelles.cxx()`: Function that reproduces Peelle’s Puzzle, see [8].

`B_arXiv_1107_5255.cxx(int Flag)`: Function that reproduces the 2011 (v3) combination of the Tevatron results on the top quark mass [9].

`B_arXiv_1305_3929.cxx(int Flag)`: Function that reproduces the 2013 (v1) combination of the Tevatron results on the top quark mass [10]. (Some discrepancies with respect to the published Table 5 were found and are under investigation with the authors.)

`B_arXiv_1307_4003.cxx(int Flag)`: Function that reproduces the results in [6]. (A different minimum with respect to the one quoted in Table 6 is found for the maximisation of the variance for  $IFuRho = 3$ . See the code for further details.)

`B_ATLAS_CONF_2012_095.cxx(int Flag)`: Function that reproduces the 2012 combination of the LHC results on the top quark mass [11].

`B_ATLAS_CONF_2012_134.cxx(int Flag)`: Function that reproduces the 2012 combination of the LHC results on the cross-section of top quark pair production [12].

`B_ATLAS_CONF_2013_033.cxx(int Flag)`: Function that reproduces the 2013 combination of the LHC results on the W-boson polarisation in top quark pair events [13]. (Some discrepancies with respect to the published Tables 6 and 7 were found and are under investigation with the authors.)

`B_ATLAS_CONF_2013_098.cxx(int Flag)`: Function that reproduces the 2013 combination of the LHC results on the single top-quark cross-section in the t-channel [14] using the BLUE method with relative uncertainties.

`B_ATLAS_CONF_2013_102.cxx(int Flag)`: Function that reproduces the 2013 combination of the LHC results on the top-quark mass [15]. (A typo for the  $\chi^2$  value quoted in Table 4 was found and has been acknowledged by the authors.)

`B_PRD41_982.cxx(int Flag)`: Function that reproduces the combination of Ref. [15] using the BLUE method with individual relative uncertainties.

For each example `B_name.cxx` a script `B_name.inp` is provided that enables the creation of an output file for that example by typing: `root -b < B_name.inp > B_name.out`. To further ease

the usage, two shell scripts `BlueOne` and `BlueAll` are provided. A single example is run by typing `BlueOne B_name` at the shell prompt. To use all input files `B_name.inp` in the current directory simply type `BlueAll` at the shell prompt. To verify the absence of programming mistakes within the user software that can be detected by the compiler also `CompOne` and `CompAll` are provided. They should be used in an analogous way to `BlueOne` and `BlueAll`, but this time to compile `B_name.cxx`.

## 5 Conversion of input files

To facilitate the conversion for users that have been working with the Fortran software [3], a utility is provided that takes a corresponding ASCII input file and converts it to a function that is similar to the examples listed above.

`void ForttoBlue(TString FilNam, TString ForVal, TString ForRho) const:` This function uses the input file `FilNam.in` and creates a file `B_FilNam.cxx` together with a corresponding steering file `B_FilNam.inp`. Afterwards `B_FilNam.cxx` can be expanded by the user and finally, it should be used the same way as the examples described in Section 4.

Running the Fortran software on `FilNam.in` should give the same result than what is obtained using `B_FilNam.cxx`. The format statement `ForVal` applies to the write statements for the estimates and uncertainties, and `ForRho` to the entries in the correlation matrices. See `LatexResult()` for a more detailed description of the meaning. Since this utility performs formatted reading from a file, strict requirements on the content of `FilNam.in` are imposed, e.g. blanks in names are not supported. The full list of requirements is listed when running `ForttoBlue()`. The function `ForttoBlue()` reports the findings during execution, such that in the case of failures the input files should be easily adaptable.

The utility works for the `FilNam.in` files that I use. In addition, to ease the usage, an example input file `EPJC_72_2046Fort.in` is provided together with `ForttoBlue.inp`. After creating the function `B_EPJC_72_2046Fort.cxx` with `ForttoBlue()`, the result from the Fortran software on `EPJC_72_2046Fort.in`, as well as those from running the newly created function for `Flag = 0`, i.e. `B_EPJC_72_2046Fort(0)` or the distributed example `B_EPJC_72_2046(0)`, are identical.

## 6 Hints on the software installation

The software version `x.y.z` is distributed via the corresponding hepforge project page [16] as a gzipped tar file named `Blue-x.y.z.tar.gz`, where the present version is `x.y.z = 1.6.0`. The software is not expected to depend on the installed version of the ROOT package. However, so far it has only been tested with ROOT 5.34/04. To install and use it perform the following steps:

1. To unzip the file: `gzip -d Blue-1.6.0.tar.gz`
2. To untar the file: `tar -xf Blue-1.6.0.tar`
3. To compile the class: `make`
4. Start ROOT
5. To load the Blue library: `gSystem->Load("libBlue.so");`
6. To get access to any of the example functions: e.g. `.L B_EPJC_72_2046.cxx++`

7. To execute a specific combination of this example: `B_EPJC_72_2046(1)`

For a more automated usage see the above descriptions of `BlueOne` and `BlueAll`.

In addition to the interface described in this manual, the software contains a number of `private`: member functions. However, differently from regular `C++` code, when the ACLiC system is used for the examples as suggested above, these member functions are **not** prohibited from being used outside of the class. Clearly, using those functions is strongly discouraged and can lead to unexpected results.

## 7 Conclusions

In this manual, a software package to perform the combination of several estimates of a number of observables was presented. The software is freely available from the corresponding hepforge project page. Given it is based on ROOT, it is distributed under the GNU Lesser General Public License. When using this software in publications, please give reference to the web page. Should you spot any mistake or peculiarity, please inform the author. If you want to be informed about new versions of the software by e-mail, let me know, either via the hepforge page or by direct e-mail.

## Acknowledgements

I like to thank Sven Menke and Giorgio Cortiana for useful discussions on the project and their assistance. I am grateful to Sven for his valuable help on implementation issues, and to Giorgio for using the code and providing feedback.

## A The BLUE Formulas for two Estimates

In the following Eq. 1 and Eq. 2 are derived with the BLUE formalism. The covariance matrix for the solutions of the linear combinations in the BLUE formalism is given by Eq. 5 of Ref. [2]. For the two estimate case of one observable this reduces to:

$$\sigma_x^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \beta & \beta \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & \rho \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \\ \rho \sigma_1 \sigma_2 & \sigma_2^2 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \beta \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} \quad (10)$$

dividing by  $\sigma_1^2$  and using  $z = \sigma_2/\sigma_1$  yields:

$$\frac{\sigma_x^2}{\sigma_1^2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \beta & \beta \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho z \\ \rho z & z^2 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \beta \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} \quad (11)$$

multiplication yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sigma_x^2}{\sigma_1^2} &= (1 - \beta)^2 + 2\rho z\beta(1 - \beta) + \beta^2 z^2 \\ &= 1 - 2\beta(1 - \rho z) + \beta^2(1 - 2\rho z + z^2) \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

taking the derivative with respect to  $\beta$  equal to zero yields:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \left( \frac{\sigma_x^2}{\sigma_1^2} \right) = -2(1 - \rho z) + 2\beta(1 - 2\rho z + z^2) = 0 \quad (13)$$

Finally, solving for  $\beta$  gives Eq. 1. Inserting the result for  $\beta$  into Eq. 12 yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sigma_x^2}{\sigma_1^2} &= 1 - 2 \frac{(1 - \rho z)^2}{1 - 2\rho z + z^2} + \frac{(1 - \rho z)^2}{1 - 2\rho z + z^2} \\ &= \frac{(1 - 2\rho z + z^2) - (1 - \rho z)^2}{1 - 2\rho z + z^2} \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

which after evaluating the numerator terms yields Eq. 2.




Figure 1: The variable  $\beta$  as a function of  $\rho$  for a number of  $z$  values.




Figure 2: The variable  $\sigma_x/\sigma_1$  as a function of  $\rho$  for a number of  $z$  values.




Figure 3: The derivative of  $\beta$  with respect to  $\rho$  as a function of  $\rho$  for a number of  $z$  values.




Figure 4: The absolute value of the derivative of  $\sigma_x/\sigma_1$  with respect to  $\rho$  as a function of  $\rho$  for a number of  $z$  values.




Figure 5: The derivative of  $\beta$  with respect to  $z$  as a function of  $z$  for a number of  $\rho$  values.




Figure 6: The derivative of  $\sigma_x/\sigma_1$  with respect to  $z$  as a function of  $z$  for a number of  $\rho$  values.






Figure 7: The sub-figures 1–6 correspond to Figures 1–6 for the special case investigated, i.e. the point representing the actual values of  $\rho$  and  $z$ . The estimates  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ , as well as the combined value  $x$ , together with their uncertainties, are listed. In addition, the three values of  $\rho$  and  $z$  used for the two pairs of three curves are listed. For the derivatives of  $\beta$  the variation of  $x$  with the variable under study evaluated at the actual point  $(\rho, z)$  is given, see Eq. 7 and Eq. 8. Finally, the relative change in  $x$  expected for a change of 0.1 in the variable, again evaluated at the actual point  $(\rho, z)$  under study is reported. Similarly, for the derivatives of  $\sigma_x/\sigma_1$  the variations of  $\sigma_x$  with the variable under study evaluated at the actual point  $(\rho, z)$  is given. Also the relative change, expected for a change of 0.1 in the variable under study and for the given  $\sigma_1$  is reported.

## B The release notes

The changes made to the software are listed in reverse order. Only the main points are given, for details please refer to the description of the interface in the main part of the text.

### Changes from v1.5 to 1.6.0

1. From this version onwards the software is hosted at <http://blue.hepforge.org/>. I also converted to the suggested numbering of the software versions.
2. Change from using ACLiC for the class to using compiled code. Convert to a consistent usage of const variables in member function calls. Add the corresponding `Makefile` and change the `B_name.inp` files accordingly.
3. Related to the consistent const variable usage in function calls the following protections against out of bound values have been altered. The protection against not allowed values of `Dx` in `SolveAccImp()` and `SolveRelUnc()`. The protection against not allowed values of `rho` in `GetPara()`. The protection against  $x_2$  being the more precise result in `DrawSens()`.
4. Remove all calls to `TString::Itoa()` which is only available from ROOT 5.34 onwards.
5. Rename the setters for `RelativeUnc` to `RelUnc` to match the name of the corresponding solver `SolveRelUnc()`.
6. Change some write statements in `LatexResult()`.
7. Fix a bug in `DrawSens()`. For the data point of the derivative of  $\sigma_x/\sigma_1$  with respect to  $\rho$  the value itself was shown rather than its absolute value. Change some write statements. Change the minimum  $z$  to 1.01.
8. Optimise the calculation in the getters for arrays of `Double_t` values.
9. Include a print out of the various parameters of hypothetical pairwise combinations `PrintParams()`. Add the corresponding `GetParams()` functions.
10. Include a print out of the  $\chi^2$  and  $P(\chi^2)$  for the combination `PrintChiPro()`.
11. Include some changes to the print out in `PrintMaxVar()`.
12. Update a number of example routines.
13. Adapt `BlueAll` as to only use `B_...inp` to protect files created via `ForttoBlue.inp` and changed by the user from being overwritten.
14. Add `CompOne` and `CompAll` to compile `B_...cxx` user functions to check for compiler errors.
15. Remove `SolveIterative()` as announced before.

### Changes from v1.4 to v1.5

1. Expand `SolveMaxVar()` to also properly work in the case of `InActive` estimates and/or uncertainties. Fix a bug that for `IFuRho=1` resulted in  $f_k = -1$  for the situation where the maximum variance occurs for the initial situation, i.e. for  $f_k = 1$ . Finally, the algorithm was protected against numerical instabilities for `IFuRho=2`.
2. Fix a bug in `FixInp()` when disabling estimates while using Relative Uncertainties.
3. Add the possibility to assign names to estimates (`XXX=Est`), uncertainties (`Unc`) and observables (`Obs`). Add three functions each to fill: `FillNamXXX()`, retrieve: `GetNamXXX()`, and print: `PrintNamXXX()` those.
4. Update a number of print, as well as the  $\text{\LaTeX}$  and display routines to make use of these

names. Expand the content of the produced  $\text{\LaTeX}$  file.

5. Add possible Format statements to `LatexResult()` and `DisplayResult()`.
6. Expand the constructor to print the software version and the date to the log file.
7. Include a utility called `ForttoBlue()` that works outside of the class. This utility converts input files for the Grunewald `Fort`-an software to functions to be used within the `Blue` class.
8. Update a number of example routines. Remove a return statement that had been inserted for test purposes into `B_arXiv_1307_4003.cxx`. This prevented a number of results from being printed.
9. Update the description of `DisplayResult()`.
10. Fix the description of the meaning of `IFuRho` for `SolveMaxVar()`.

### Changes from v1.3 to v1.4

1. Add functions to retrieve the list of active estimates `GetIndEst(...)`, uncertainties `GetIndUnc(...)` and observables `GetIndObs(...)` into user arrays.
2. Add a function to print the contribution of an uncertainty source  $k$  to the covariance matrix `PrintCov(k)`.
3. Add four new methods to solve: according to importance `SolveAccImp(Dx)`, with the calculation of information weights `SolveInfWei()`, by only using estimates that have positive BLUE weights `SolvePosWei()`, or by maximising the variance of the result while changing the assumptions of the correlations of estimates for the various uncertainty sources `SolveMaxVar(IFuRho)`.
4. Add three new functions to print the findings of the respective solver: `PrintAccImp()`, `PrintInfWei()` and `PrintMaxVar()`.
5. Add two new functions to ease publishing the results: `LatexResult()` and `DisplayResult()` that create a tex file with a table of estimates and observables, or a figure.
6. Rename the BLUE method with relative uncertainties `SolveIterative()` to `SolveRelUnc()`.
7. Optimise `SolveRelUnc()`, protect against non filled functions for individual estimates when using `SetRelativeUnc(i, k, ActCof)`.
8. Add a new examples: `B_arXiv_1307_4003`.
9. Change a few examples implementing the new functionalities.
10. Fix bug in print out of stat + syst uncertainty breakdown in `PrintResult()` for the case of more than one observable.

### Changes from v1.2 to v1.3

1. Add the possibility to fill percentage uncertainties to `FillEst()`.
2. Add the possibility to have fixed vales for the correlations for a given uncertainty, see `SetRhoValUnc()`.
3. Add the possibility to have relative and absolute uncertainties for the iterative BLUE method, see `SetRelativeUnc()`.
4. Add the iterative BLUE method `SolveIterative()`.
5. Add some print out to `PrintResult()`.
6. Add two print functions `PrintStatus()` and `PrintCofRelativeUnc()`.

7. Add three new examples: B\_ATLAS\_CONF\_2013.098, B\_ATLAS\_CONF\_2013.102 and B\_PRD41\_982.
8. Expand some examples.
9. Fix a bug in `PrintCor(k)` and `PrintEst(i)`. The correct entry was printed, but for the case of `InActive` uncertainties or estimates wrong error messages were produced.
10. Optimise `FixInp()`, protect against zero total uncertainties for estimates in the case of `InActive` uncertainties, and change some print out.

### Changes from v1.1 to v1.2

1. Add a constructor for a single observable.
2. Add the possibility to scale the correlation for individual uncertainty sources.
3. Add the calculation of the  $\chi^2$  of the result.
4. Add the calculation and print function for the pull of the estimates.
5. Add the calculation of the compatibility of the estimates and observables.
6. Add the print function for the lists of estimates, uncertainties and observables.
7. Facilitate the retrieval of the total uncertainties of the observables.
8. Protect some private functions against wrong entries.
9. Improve some print functions and print statements.
10. Expand some examples.

### Changes from v1.0 to v1.1

1. Change a number of print statements.
2. Add the possibility to set the level of details for the print out.
3. Add checks of the correlation matrices to `FillCor()`.
  - 1) Check full matrices for symmetry.
  - 2) Check all matrices for range  $(-1 < \rho < 1)$ .
  - 3) Set all diagonal elements to one without notice.
4. Add B\_ATLAS\_CONF\_2013.033.
5. Add B\_ATLAS\_CONF\_2012.134.
6. Fix typo in `Cor11` of B\_arXiv\_1107.5255.cxx.

## References

- [1] L. Lyons, D. Gibaut and P. Clifford, How to combine correlated estimates of a single physical quantity, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A270 (1988) 110.
- [2] A. Valassi, Combining correlated measurements of several different quantities, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A500 (2003) 391.
- [3] M. Grunewald, private communication, unpublished software.
- [4] R. Brun and F. Rademakers, ROOT - An Object Oriented Data Analysis Framework, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A389 (1997) 81–86, Proceedings of AIHENP'96 Workshop, Lausanne, Sep. 1996.

- [5] L. Lyons, D. Gibaut and P. Clifford, On the determination of the  $B$  lifetime by combining the results of different experiments, Phys. Rev. D41 (1990) 982.
- [6] A. Valassi and R. Chierici, Information and treatment of unknown correlations in the combination of measurements using the BLUE method (v2). [arXiv:1307.4003](#).
- [7] The ATLAS Collaboration, Measurement of the top quark mass with the template method in the top antitop  $\rightarrow$  lepton + jets channel using ATLAS data, Eur. Phys. J. C72 (2012) 2046.
- [8] R. Peelle, Peelle's pertinent puzzle, Oak Ridge National Laboratory Memorandum, Washington DC, USA, 1987.  
URL <http://www.osti.gov/bridge/servlets/purl/6987645-fsIj6B/6987645.pdf>
- [9] The Tevatron Electroweak Working Group for the CDF and DØ Collaborations, Combination of CDF and DØ results on the mass of the top quark using up to  $5.8 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  of data (v3). [arXiv:1107.5255](#).
- [10] The Tevatron Electroweak Working Group for the CDF and DØ Collaborations, Combination of CDF and DØ results on the mass of the top quark using up to  $8.7 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  at the tevatron (v1). [arXiv:1305.3929](#).
- [11] The ATLAS and CMS Collaborations, Combination of ATLAS and CMS results on the mass of the top quark using up to  $4.9 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  of data, ATLAS-CONF-2012-095, CMS-PAS-TOP-12-001.  
URL <http://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/1460441>
- [12] The ATLAS and CMS Collaborations, Combination of ATLAS and CMS top-quark pair cross-section measurements using proton-proton collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$ , ATLAS-CONF-2012-134, CMS-PAS-TOP-12-003.  
URL <http://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/1478422>
- [13] The ATLAS and CMS Collaborations, Combination of the ATLAS and CMS measurements of the W-boson polarization in top-quark decays, ATLAS-CONF-2013-033, CMS PAS TOP-12-025.  
URL <http://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/1527531>
- [14] The ATLAS and CMS Collaborations, Combination of single top-quark cross-sections measurements in the t-channel at  $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$  with the ATLAS and CMS experiments, ATLAS-CONF-2013-098, CMS-PAS-TOP-12-002.  
URL <http://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/1601029>
- [15] The ATLAS and CMS Collaborations, Combination of ATLAS and CMS results on the mass of the top-quark mass using up to  $4.9 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  of  $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$  LHC data, ATLAS-CONF-2013-102, CMS-PAS-TOP-13-005.  
URL <http://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/1601811>

- [16] R. Nisius, BLUE: a ROOT class to combine a number of correlated estimates of one or more observables using the Best Linear Unbiased Estimate method.  
URL <http://blue.hepforge.org>